

28

///

HAYES H. GABLE, III Attorney at Law - SBN 60368 428 J Street, Suite 354 Sacramento, California 95814 Telephone: (916) 446-3331 3 YOLO SUPERIOR COURT Facsimile: (916)447-2988 4 APR 0 2 2010 THOMAS A. PURTELL 5 Attorney at Law - SBN 26606 430 Third Street Woodland, CA 95695 6 Telephone: (530) 662-9140 7 Facsimile: (530) 662-3018 8 Attorneys for Defendant MARCO ANTONIO TOPETE 10 11 YOLO COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT 12 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 13 Case no. CR08-3355 PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, 14 NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION Plaintiff, 15 FOR CONTINUANCE OF TRIAL: DECLARATION OF HAYES VS. 16 GABLE. III: MEMORANDUM POINTS AND AUTHORITIES 17 MARCO ANTONIO TOPETE, 18 Date: April 16, 2009 Defendant(s). Time: 8:30 a.m. 19 Department: 6 20 21 TO: THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY OF YOLO COUNTY: 22 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the defendant, MARCO ANTONIO TOPETE, by and through 23 his attorneys of record, will move the Court at the above time and place to continue the trial now set 24 for May 17, 2010, to June 14, 2010. 25 This motion is made pursuant to the provisions of Penal Code section 1050 and is based upon 26 the attached declaration of counsel, the memorandum of points and authorities submitted herewith, 27 and on such other oral and/or documentary evidence that may be presented at the hearing.

Respectfully submitted,

HAYES H. GABLE, III

Attorney for Defendant

MARCO ANTONIO TOPETE

DECLARATION OF HAYES H. GABLE, III

I, HAYES H. GABLE, III, declare:

Dated: April 2, 2010

- 1. I am one of the attorney appointed to represent the defendant, MARCO ANTONIO TOPETE, in the above-captioned action.
- 2. The defendant is charged by indictment with the capital murder of Yolo County Deputy Sheriff Antonio Diaz on June 15, 2008.
 - 3. On August 28, 2009, this court set a trial date of May 17, 2010.
- 4. Since that time, defense counsel has diligently prepared this case and continues to do so. However, due to defense counsel's responsibilities in the matter of People v. Aaron Dunn, Sacramento County Superior Court no. 06F02731, the defense will not be ready to proceed on May 17, 2010. In fact, counsel is currently in the guilt phase of that capital case. The trial is not expected to conclude until the first or second week of May. Counsel then requires time to prepare motions and complete investigation in the instant case.
- 5. As reflected in the authorities filed herewith, counsel for a defendant in a capital case have a duty to their client to provide legal representation that is constitutionally adequate.

 Constitutionally adequate representation requires counsel to make strategic and tactical decisions based upon a thorough investigation of guilt phase issues and any mitigating factors which may be relevant to a penalty phase of the trial. Failure to adequately investigate the case is not only shoddy legal work, it risks reversal of any conviction and/or sentence imposed.
- 6. Counsel have identified the following areas that require additional investigation that must be accomplished prior to trial:
- (a) <u>Discovery</u> To date we have received approximately 2693 pages of discovery. In addition, approximately 65 CD's containing a variety of subject matter, including interviews of

witnesses, both audio and video, photographs, and crime scene video, have been provided through informal discovery. Many of the recording will require transcription, in order to be reviewed by the client and others consulting with counsel.

The prosecution, on or about May 7, 2009, served on the defense a list of factors in aggravation, as required by Penal Code section 190.3. This statement include three felony convictions and some 16 separate other crimes/conduct allegations, many of which involve multiple alleged violations.

- (b) <u>Investigation</u> Investigation of guilt and penalty issues is continuing.
- (c) Expert witness The defense has, in addition to the investigators, retained expert witnesses in a number of fields, including psychologists, a psychiatrist, a prison expert, a gang expert, a social historian, a criminalist, a venue expert, and a jury consultant. These experts have been appointed as the need becomes evident, based upon ongoing investigation. Some of them are just starting their work. At present, it is anticipated they will have completed their work by the time of trial.
- 7. Based upon the assumption that the defense will be ready to proceed on June 14, 2010, the following schedule is proposed:

Venue Motion:

Defendant's Motion filed by April 9, 2010

People's Response filed by April 30, 2010

Defendant's Reply filed by May 7, 2010

Venue Hearing on May 21, 2010

In Limine Motions to be heard June 14 through June 18, 2010

Sequestered Jury Selection June 21, 2010 through July 2, 2010

Opening Statements July 6, 2010

8. It is the understanding of defense counsel that the District Attorney does not oppose this proposed schedule.

Based upon the foregoing, it is my considered opinion, and that of co-counsel, Thomas A.

Purtell, that the earliest reasonable date for this case to proceed to trial would be June 14, 2010. 1 2 Executed at Sacramento, California, on April 2, 2010. 3 HAYES A. GAPLE, III 4 5 MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES 6 California Penal Code section 1050 sets forth procedures for continuances of trial in criminal 7 cases. Subdivision (a) establishes the People's right to a speedy trial; the defendant has a 8 constitutional right to speedy trial under both the state and federal constitutions. However, 9 subdivision (a) of section 1050 continues to recognize that death penalty prosecutions are different, 10 and they are to be tried only when "both the prosecution and the defense have informed the court that 11 they are prepared to proceed to trial " Penal Code 11050(a). 12 The prosecution may be ready for trial as presently scheduled, but the defense is not. Until 13 both the prosecution and the defense announce ready for trial, the statutory right of the people must 14 give way. As demonstrated in the present motion to continue the trial date, good cause exists to 15 continue the trial in this case. If further good cause must be determined by the court, an ex parte, in 16 camera hearing is requested in order to allow counsel to divulge more details without prejudice to 17 18 Mr. Topete's defense. 19 Dated: April 2, 2010 Respectfully submitted 20 21 22 THOMAS A. PURTELL Attorneys for Defendant 23 MARCO ANTONIO TOPETE 24 25

26

27

28

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE 1 I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of the County of Yolo. I am over the age 2 of eighteen years and not a party to the above-entitled action; my business address is 430 Third Street, Woodland, CA 95695 3 On the date below, I served the following document(s): 4 NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR CONTINUANCE 5 OF TRIAL; DECLARATION OF HAYES H. GABLE, III; MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES 6 BY MAIL. I caused such envelope, with postage thereon fully prepaid, to be placed in the 7 United States Mail at Sacramento, California addressed as follows: 8 BY PERSONAL SERVICE. I caused such document(s) to be delivered by hand to the 9 offices of the person(s) listed below: 10 **JEFF REISIG GARRET HAMILTON** 11 Yolo County District Attorney 301 Second Street 12 Woodland, CA 95695 13 14 person(s) listed below: 15 16

BY FACSIMILE SERVICE. I caused the document(s) to be served via facsimile to the

BY EMAIL ATTACHMENT. I caused the document(s) to be served via email as an attachment to the person(s) listed below:

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on April 2, 2010, at Woodland, California.

THOMAS A. PURTELL

22 23

17

18

19

20

21

24

25

26

27

28